

**National Geothermal Collaborative
Steering Committee**

Final Meeting Summary

June 30, 2003

Conference Call

Present:

Gordon Bloomquist- Washington State University
Troy Gagliano – National Conference of State Legislatures
Karl Gawell – Geothermal Energy Association
Susan Norwood – Department of Energy
Marilynn Semro – Seattle City Lights
Mike McKinney- Southern California Edison- Governmental Affairs
David LaRoche- White House Task Force (Alternate for Middleton)
Janine Clayton, United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service Region 9

Not Present:

Ted Clutter, GRC
Paul Dunlevy, BLM
Roger Fragua, CERT
Dave McClain, McClain Associates
Stuart Johnson, GRC/Caithness
John Snow, State of Nevada, Comm. on Mineral Resources

Guests:

Jack Pigot – Calpine Energy (Alternate for Dennis Gilles)
Kevin Porter
Ryan Wiser
Arlene Rocabado (Alternate for Mike McKinney, SCE)

The purpose of this meeting was to give committee members an opportunity to ask clarifying questions of the Exeter team about changes they made to the RPS document per direction from the Steering Committee at the May meeting.

RPS Memo Overview- Ryan Wiser

Ryan Wiser gave an extensive summary of the changes made and not made. These changes are also reflected in a memorandum from Exeter to the Steering Committee.

Consensus or Resource Document- Discussion

There was little discussion on the changes. Steering Committee members were asked if the changes made satisfied those interests underlying their requests, and all agreed.

Steering Committee members were then asked if anything was changed that would block their ability to accept the document as a consensus document. No objections were registered. (NOTE: Ted Clutter left a voice mail expressing his acceptance of the document, and Roger Fragua spoke with Kathleen after the meeting and also expressed his broad acceptance of the document). Kathleen then did a role call confirmation of all party to the call: Can each of you accept this as a consensus document?

Agreement: Steering Committee members present broadly accept the document as a consensus document. They requested a more in-depth review time to thoroughly read the whole document. Any issues or further changes to the document at this time will be forwarded to Kathleen/Jody at Resolve by c.o.b. Thursday, July 10, 2003. Resolve will then put together a memo of changes/no changes similar to that written by Exeter. Should the list of changes run long, there will likely need to be two more review sessions. If brief, the hope is for one more review of the document.

Review for consensus will be on a conference call scheduled for July 11, 2003 at 12:00 pm Eastern, 10:00 am Mountain, and 8:00 am Pacific. The call will be scheduled for one hour.
Call in Number: 1-800-820-4690
Pass code: 712-6626

Consensus Process for Preface

Few members have had the opportunity to fully review the document.

Agreement:

Steering Committee members agreed to review the preface in order to discuss concerns with it on the call scheduled for July 11, 2003. The process will parallel that used for the RPS document. Participants will come to the call prepared to discuss the following:

Process:

(Same as used for RPS report in May).

- ? *What needs to be preserved and protected?*
- ? *What would block consensus?*
- ? *What's missing/needs to be addressed?*

Outcome:

- ? *Identify areas for change, preservation.*
- ? *Agree upon timeframe for changes to be integrated, approved and document disseminated.*

Next Steps

How does this get disseminated, to whom and in what form? (Printed bound copies, pdf files, website links, etc.)

Discussion here remained broad- generating ideas/exchanging information.

Agreement: There was general agreement that the NGC should print 1-200 full copies of the report. Some of these could be made available during upcoming conferences, and others distributed to people who will likely read and utilize the whole report.

There was also broad agreement that making to whole report available online, and disseminating different combinations of the Executive Summary, Preface and Table of contents along with a link to the website with complete information will be better received/more efficient.

To whom does the report go?

The Steering Committee agreed in May that the target audience was decision makers, this was further defined as legislators, agencies and key personnel. To this end the following suggestions were made:

- ✍ Preface, Table Of Contents (TOC), Executive Summary and link for full report- this could go to legislators/legislative staff
- ✍ Develop and send the preface, TOC, and Executive Summary to a list of energy legislative aides
- ✍ NCSL also has state lists: send executive summary to committee chairs and vice chairs, all members of energy or natural resource committees with those states with most resources
- ✍ “Energy L” an NCSL listserv of about 200 legislators and legislative staff who sign on because they’re interested in energy in general

Discussion then went to other recipients, including the broader geothermal community, developers, advocates, etc.

Organizations/Associations:

- ? EEI (Mike McKinney can ensure that a synopsis of the report is printed in the EEI Washington letter)
- ? APPA (APPA has a daily publication- this goes out to all public utilities –provide link)
- ? Electric Public Power Association
- ? National Hydropower Association
- ? NARUC (National Association of Rural Utility Cooperatives), summary to exec director, mailing list of all state commission chairs,
- ? NASEO (National Association of State Energy Officials)
- ? NASUCA (National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates)
- ? Geothermal State Working Groups-should be focused regionally
- ? NREL-state and local initiatives-Roya Stanley or Terri Walters
- ? ITEN-Inter Tribal Energy Network
- ? LAW-Land and Water Fund of the Rockies
- ? Legislative Librarians
- ? WGA-Western Governor’s Associations

- ? GRC, GEA, GPW, NCSL, CERT and other stakeholder organizations that are members of the Steering Committee

Agreement: For those organizations that are part of this collaborative with good databases that might be interested in knowing this is available, send an informational email. RESOLVE will draft templates. Attach executive summary.

Publications:

Resolve will draft a press release for distribution to identified venues to get the word out about the report.

IOU Trade Magazines, *Electric Perspective*
Public Utilities Fortnightly (well circulated/read magazine)

Venues

Geothermal Booth (NREL, GPW, Marilyn Nemzer booth, NCSL July 21-25, 6,000+attendees- make copies available- TOC, Preface, Exec. Summary. Also- make this available on CD. Make a few complete hard copies available- 20.

GRC in October, GPW State Summit in September in Boise, State Work Groups in Utah, New Mexico, Idaho meeting in mid August to mid September. State Work Group in Oregon on 16 July. (Gordon will attend all of the above).

It was noted that the key to successful dissemination/absorption of this document will be through repetition.