

Draft Agenda
National Geothermal Collaborative Steering Committee
June 30 2003
Conference Call in #: 1-800-820-4690
Passcode: 712-6626
Duration: 2 Hours
Time: 2:00 p.m. EST, 12:00 p.m. MST, 11:00 a.m. PST

Please review listed Supplemental Materials/Attachments PRIOR to meeting:

- ? Meeting Summary (March, May)
- ? List of Proposed Issue Papers
- ? RPS Final Version and Memo from Exeter and Associates (emailed on 23 June, 2003)
- ? Preface

12:00 **Welcome (New member** Janine Clayton USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Region)

12:05 **Introductions**

12:15 **Modify and Adopt Agenda**

12:20 **Purpose: Finalize RPS Document**

Groundrules

12:25 **Modify/Accept meeting summary**

Materials: Meeting Summaries March, May 2003

12:35 **Evaluating State RPS: A Focus On Geothermal Energy**

We are entering the final round of discussion on this document. Presentations, questions and concerns today should be focused on changes to the document recommended at the last meeting. Remember: these are substantively focused issues that were identified as potentially blocking consensus, or important to preserve and protect in order to reach consensus.

A brief reminder of relevant agreements made: (refer to May meeting summary for all pertinent agreements)

- ? The target audience for the RPS report is decision makers – agencies and legislators.
- ? The RPS report will be broadly focused with sections that are geothermal specific.
- ? In the Introduction of the RPS Report remove the Rader reference and create more of a balanced point-counter point format
- ? The NGC will write a preface and Exeter will write an executive summary for the RPS Report. The group agreed to the content as stated above.

Process:

- ? *Review development process of report, purpose/objective and intended audience.*
- ? *Propose and adopt consensus process.*
- ? *Exeter will present a broad changes overview, highlighting those places in each section that have changed.*
- ? *Steering committee discusses any remaining barriers to consensus. Determines document as consensus or failing that, resource document.*

Outcome: Identify substantial issues that would block consensus, and articulate the underlying interests in each. Work to negotiate to acceptance of document as consensus or resource document of NGC.

:15	Overview Changes Memo	Kevin, Ryan
:15	Clarifying Questions and Answers	All
:30	Consensus or Resource Document	Kathleen/All

1:35 RPS Preface

From the May meeting summary, Draft Agreement:

- ? The content of the preface will include stakeholder's views - focused more on the geothermal portions of the report, and the design rationale. It will be about three pages and act as a stand alone document.

Process:

(Same as used for RPS report in May).

- ? *What needs to be preserved and protected?*
- ? *What would block consensus?*
- ? *What's missing/needs to be addressed?*

Outcome:

- ? *Identify areas for change, preservation.*
- ? *Agree upon timeframe for changes to be integrated, approved and document disseminated.*

Next Steps

How does this get disseminated, to whom and in what form? (Printed bound copies, pdf files, website links, etc.)

Process: Discussion about the range of options on form of this document for dissemination, identify targeted recipients.

Outcome: Agreement on who gets the document and in what form.

1:50

Impediments

There have been some conversations exploring DOD and Tribal workshops or dialogues.

Process: Update (DOD, Tribal Workshops). Next Impediments Workgroup meeting:

1:55

Issue Papers/Briefs

Troy/Kathleen

Following the decisions and direction given in the May meeting, NCSL and Resolve have developed a draft proposal to move forward on the Issue Papers/Briefs.

Relevant Draft agreements from the May meeting summary:

- ? Abandon the Research document adoption process. Initiate an issue identification process to further the purposes of the NGC.
- ? Objective of the Issue Papers: To inform decisionmakers about the geothermal resource (development potential), technologies and policies.
- ? The audience for the Issue Papers will vary depending on the issue and include: state and federal legislators/regulators, public and private utilities.

Process: Review Issues List, Proposed Timeline

Outcome: Accept/Modify proposal