

Draft May Agenda
Hotel Teatro
Denver, CO
Monday, May 12, 2003
8:30-4:30 MST

Please be prepared to review and discuss the following listed Supplemental Materials/Attachments:

- ? Meeting Summary
- ? Research Documents (#'s 1,6,7,8)
- ? RPS Report
- ? Draft of Impediments Findings

9:00 a.m. Welcome
:15 Introductions
:45 Groundrules (include consensus process for documents)
:55 Meeting Objective
:00 Agenda Review/Adoption
:05 Modify/Adopt Meeting Summary

10:10 a.m. RPS Report: (Consensus Adoption process)

- ? *Process Overview*
- ? *Presentation: Overview and Highlights*
- ? *Process: Exeter and Associates will present for x minutes. Format currently under discussion. Most likely: Exeter will do broad overview, highlighting those places in each section that have: received comments, have been changed and/or need further discussion.*
- ? *Outcome: Consensus adoption of document with changes negotiated and agreed to by steering committee. If consensus cannot be reached, the document then becomes a resource document.*

12:30 lunch served

1:00 Next steps for the RPS Report.

How does this get disseminated, to whom and in what form? (Printed bound copies, pdf files, website links, etc.)

- ? *Process: Review goal of developing this document. Discussion about range of options on form of document, identify targeted recipients.*
- ? *Outcome: Agreement on who gets the document and in what form.*

1:35 Website and Logo

Review logo, functions of website and operational/design options.

? *Process: Jody will walk the group through this review.*

? *Outcome: Direction given will be made as to which design options work best for both the log and website*

2:20 Research Documents (x and x)

Overview: Review process to date. Identify based on responses those documents that would be “easy” to adapt/adopt. Negotiate changes necessary for consensus adoption. Ask: Does this work?

? *Process: Review rationale for considering NWCC documents. Background on those documents- eg when the original documents were crafted, for what intended purpose and audiences If there are one or two that didn't elicit much response, work through a modification and adoption process. Summarize comments submitted, ask for further comments.*

? *Outcome: Agreement on what is necessary to adapt documents to NGC for broad educational(or other) purposes.*

3:20 NGC – Beyond the Steering Committee

As the Steering Committee coalesces and moves forward with work through the work groups, the National Geothermal Collaborative is being discussed in a number of venues. This presence brings with it questions of participation from interested parties outside of the Steering Committee. What models of participation best serve the NGC's objectives? The spectrum could move from the current model, a small steering committee with workgroups that include those with relevant expertise (and not steering committee members), to considering a larger model for participation/engagement.

✍ *When is it time to grow the Collaborative?*

✍ *What purposes would best be met through collaboration with other organizations- GEA, GRC, GPW?*

✍ *What criteria best shape the evaluation of a role/participation in a larger effort?*

✍ *How does that larger participant group function?*

e.g. Participation by those with expertise on workgroups

GPW presentations- invite for whole discussion or in to simply do presentations or hybrid?

Adjourn

Draft Agenda, Day Two: NGC
May 13, 2003
8:30-4:30 p.m.
Hotel Teatro
Denver, CO

9:00 a.m. *Welcome*

- *Introductions*
- *Groundrules (include consensus process for documents)*
- *Meeting Objective*
- *Agenda Review/Adoption*
- *Recap Day One*

9:30 a.m. **Research (Consensus Adoption process)**

Continued from Day One. .

- *Process: Given the outcome of this conversation, what other research questions could or should be pursued that will advance the responsible development of geothermal? (include protocol language in here)?*

11:00 a.m. **Impediments Findings: Iterative draft (Pre-consensus feedback)**

X will present an overview of the document in it's current form.

- ? *Process: The Steering Committee will provide feedback to the workgroup on overall structure and content of the Findings document, identify any missing information/data sets, as well as identify any components they find unnecessary/objectionable or imbalanced in the paper.*

- ? *Outcome: Impediments workgroup will have feedback to integrate into the next draft of the document, prior to submitting it for consensus adoption. Steering committee will both get a preview of what's underway, as well as have a shaping hand in the document formation. This discussion will delineate where there are clear areas of agreement and what will need to be attended to get there.*

12:30 LUNCH (reservations will be made offsite)

1:50: NEXT STEPS

The Steering Committee will discuss most strategic next steps with regard to workgroup products

- ? *Process: Discussion will move from RPS report next steps, to the development of workshops currently underway with the Impediments workgroup.*

? *Outcome: All members will be updated on current activities. All members will have an opportunity to help answer some of the challenging questions*

4:00 Steering Committee Structure

? Updates on the prospecting calls

? Modifications?