

Final Draft Agenda
National Geothermal Collaborative Steering Committee
March 13, 2003
Conference Call in #: 1-800-820-4690
Passcode: 712-6626
Duration: 2 Hours
Time: 2:00 p.m. EST, 12:00 p.m. MST, 11:00 a.m. PST

Please review listed Supplemental Materials/Attachments:

- ? Meeting Summary
- ? List of Research Documents
- ? Blueprint (workplan)
- ? Workgroup Timelines
- ? NGC Protocols (for reference only)

12:00 **Welcome**

12:05 **Introductions**

12:15 **Modify and Adopt Agenda**

12:20 **Groundrules**

12:25 **Modify/Accept meeting summary**
Materials: Meeting Summary

12:35 **Workgroup review**

:15 Research documents

Jody

Status

Process

Identify individual document reviewers. *We need individuals to review Jodi's work prior to submitting documents to the whole steering committee for consensus adoption. Please review List of Research Documents and consider reviewing one or two.*

Materials: List of Research Documents

:10 Impediments

Gordon/Paul

Update

Timeline

:10 RPS

Marilynn/Dan

Update

Timeline

:20 Blueprint (recommend for adoption)

Susan/Kathleen

Purpose

Questions and Answers

Recommend for Adoption
Material: Blueprint

1:35 SC membership

Kathleen

CEQ White House Task Force on Energy Project Streamlining has a new Forest Service Liaison coming online soon, replacing Melissa Hearst. Forest Service liaisons are on time limited details to the Task Force. Bob Middleton has informed RESOLVE that he has worked with USFS to extend the detail of the next FS representative to a minimum of six months.

- ? Does the Steering Committee wish to have this person participate?
- ? If not, what other options (if any) ought to be pursued?

The environmental chair filled by Rich Ferguson has been vacated. What are the recommendations to fill this?

1:50 Develop Draft April Agenda

Determine Location

Project Specific:

- ✍ RPS Report: (Consensus Adoption process)
- ✍ Research (Consensus Adoption process)
- ✍ Impediments Findings: Iterative draft (Pre-consensus feedback)

Process:

- ✍ The Steering Committee will discuss most strategic next steps with regard to workgroup products and the adopted NGC Blueprint

Structure:

As the Steering Committee coalesces and moves forward with work through the work groups, the National Geothermal Collaborative is being discussed in a number of venues. This presence brings with it questions of participation from interested parties outside of the Steering Committee. What models of participation best serve the NGC's objectives? The spectrum would move from the current model, a small steering committee with workgroups that include those with relevant expertise and not steering committee members, to considering a larger model for participation/engagement.

- ✍ What criteria best shape the evaluation of a role/participation in a larger effort?
- ✍ How does that larger participant group function?
e.g. Participation by those with expertise on workgroups
- ✍ When is it time to grow the Collaborative?